

August 15,2006

PCCHA MEMBERSHIP SURVEY
June/July 2006

RESULTS

Question #1:

At its' Aged Events, should the PCCHA continue to offer a higher limit (over \$50,000) Amateur Division or should it be a Non Pro Division?

Vote Results: Amateur: 73 Non Pro: 109 Other: 7

Question #2:

At what dollar level would you set the limit?

Vote results: \$150,000: 88 \$200,000: 60 \$250,000: 29

Question #3:

If there were a higher limit Division, would you prefer:

- A. It to be held completely separate from the Non Pro Division?**
- B. Held concurrent (drawn and run together) with the Non Pro Division and with separate finals?**
- C. As a Class within a Class, separate finals?**

Vote Results: A: 54 B: 95 C: 40

Question #4:

Of the Added Money available to the Non Pro/Limited Divisions, how would you prefer the PCCHA to split it?

Vote Results: Equally: 76 Larger % to the Non Pro: 102

Demographic questions results:

What is your member status?

Amateur: 100, Non Pro: 70, Trainer: 13, Non Competitor: 5, Vendor: 1

If you are an Amateur/Non Pro, what dollar level are you eligible for at this time?

\$50K: 101, \$150K: 47, \$200K: 11, \$250K: 5, \$250K & above: 8

In the last 24 months, which PCCHA events did enter?

Paso Robles: 107, Reno Derby: 87, Reno Futurity: 66

Survey Results tabulated by Debbie McGregor, PCCHA Staff